

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,137	07/28/2003	Ben A. Hitt	CORR-004/01US	4524
Cooley Godward LLP ATTN: Patent Group One Freedom Square, Reston Town Center 11951 Freedom Drive Reston, VA 20190-5656			EXAMINER	
			CLOW, LORI A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/628,137	HITT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time This is apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ap	oril 2007.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 28-41 and 43-55 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 28-41 and 43-55 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. election requirement.	≣xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response, filed 11 April 2007, has been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claims 28-41 and 43-55 are currently pending. Claims 1-27 and 42 are cancelled.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement submitted 7 April 2006 was considered in part in the Office Action of 11 July 2006. References 77 and 155 were not considered, as they lacked a publication date. In the reply filed 11 April 2007, Applicant has provided publication dates for references 77 and 155, which the Examiner has amended into the IDS of 7 April 2006. A signed copy of amended form PTO 1449 is included with this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 41 and 43-48 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

1. Applicant states that the claims have been amended to recite "receiving mass spectral data associated with the test sample" and "if it is determined that the displacement is within an acceptable distance, classifying that the mass spectral data from the test sample is acceptable for analysis in the bioassay".

Page 3

This is not persuasive. Claims 41 and 45 are now directed to a method of determining whether mass spectral data from a test sample is acceptable for analysis, which does not recite either a physical transformation of matter nor a practical application [i.e. concrete, tangible, and useful result]. Instant claims 41 and 45 recite steps comprising providing a location, receiving mass spectral data and comparing a test centroid. No description or definition for "providing" data or "comparing" centroids is provided in the Specification such that these would be interpreted as a physical steps, therefore the claimed method steps do not result in a physical transformation of matter. Furthermore, the step of receiving mass spectral data does not require a physical transformation, as it is just data that are received without any actual mass spectrometry being performed. Where a claimed method does not result in a physical transformation of matter, it may be statutory where it recites a concrete, tangible, and useful result (i.e. a practical application). However, no actual, concrete result is recited in the claims, nor is any useful result "produced" in a tangible form useful to one skilled in the art. The certification of mass spectral data that is acceptable for analysis does not provide a tangible result, for example, to a user, as the certification may not actually be output such that the result is useful.

Art Unit: 1631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 28-41 and 43-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. *This rejection is necessitated by amendment to the claims*.

Claims 28, 36, 40, 41, 45 have been amended to recite "if it is determined that the test spectrum maps to the n-dimensional space...certifying that the test...is acceptable for analysis..." or "if it is determined that the displacement...certifying that the mass spectral data..." or "if it is determined that the magnitude of the displacement is acceptable...certifying that the mass spectral..." It is unclear from the wording of the claim that the determination of the mapping as an acceptable distance is what certifies the test spectrum as acceptable. It appears from the wording that "certifying" is an additional step. The claim is therefore, unclear. Clarification through clearer claim language is requested.

Claims 49 and 51 have been amended to recite, "certifying that the test biochip is acceptable for use in the bioassay (or biological diagnostic test)". It is unclear if this is an active step or a result of the mapping. Clarification is requested.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

The outstanding rejections under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph for lack of written description (new matter) have been withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

Art Unit: 1631

The outstanding rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph over claim 41 has been withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claim. New rejections are set forth above as necessitated by amendment to the claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Inquiries

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central Fax Center Number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori A. Clow, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0715. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,137

. Art Unit: 1631

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

Page 6

June 18, 2007 Lori A. Clow, Ph.D. Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1631

Land Clay